arXiv:cond-mat/0011117v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 7 Nov 2000

Stochastic aggregation of point particles revisited.

Oleg V. Zaboronski
Department of Mathematics, University of Warwick.
Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK; olegz@maths.warwick.ac.uk.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In the present letter we employ the method of the dynamical renormalisation group to compute the average mass distribution of aggregating point particles in 2 dimensions in the regime when the effects of local mass distribution fluctuations are essential.
PACS numbers: 05.10.Cc, 82.20.Mj.
Key words: Stochastic aggregation, Smoluchowski equation, renormalisation group analysis.

The statistical properties of systems of aggregating diffusive particles have been studied using methods of kinetic theory since the pioneering work of Smoluchowski, [1]. The kinetic equation derived in this work almost a hundred years ago has proved extremely useful in describing properties of various aggregation systems, see [2] for a review. However, as it became clear in the 80's, the Smoluchowski equation provides a sort of mean field theory description of the process of aggregation and is therefore valid if only the fluctuations of particle density around mean values are small.

Both theoretical analysis within the mean field theory [3] and numerical experiments [4] discovered the existence of the *critical* dimension d_c of the ambient space which marks the transition from non-fluctuating large time statistics of a given model of aggregation to a fluctuation-dominated one. This means in particular, that the scaling properties of the large time asymptotics of average mass distribution in $d > d_c$ are well described by an appropriate Smoluchowski equation, whereas in $d < d_c$ the mean field theory answer for the large time asymptotics of the average mass distribution becomes incorrect.

By analogy with equilibrium critical phenomena one should expect that mean field theory answers receive logarithmic corrections in $d = d_c$. These have indeed been observed in numerical experiments [4] and explained heuristically [5].

However, the theory of stochastic aggregation which would enable one to account for fluctuation effects systematically, in particular to derive the above mentioned logarithmic corrections in $d = d_c$, is still absent.

The aim of the present letter is to build such a theory for the simplest model of stochastic aggregation of diffusing point particles characterized by constant diffusion and reaction rates. This model was originally introduced in [4]. Its critical dimension was found to be equal to 2.

We start the discussion by defining the model in terms of the master equation. We then use Doi's formalism [6] to derive the functional integral representation for the average mass distribution. We then establish the connection between the resulting effective field theory and the well understood effective field theory of $A + A \to A$ model [8]. The connection is

used to compute the average density in $2-\epsilon$ dimensions. This allows an easy derivation of logarithmic corrections to the mean field theory answers in $d=2$, the determination of the exact scaling properties of the answer in $d=1$ and a discussion of the mean field theory applicability in $d>2$.

Consider a set of point particles on a d-dimensional lattice Z^d each performing a random walk characterized by a rate D.

Suppose that each particle carries an integer charge q. Any two particles with charges q_1 and q_2 positioned at a given lattice site can coalesce with probability λ per second to create a particle with a charge q_1+q_2 in the same position.

It is convenient to think of our particle system as living on a $(1+d)$-dimensional lattice Γ with d space coordinates and one charge coordinate. We assume that initially the occupation numbers of particles of a given charge at different lattice sites are independent identically distributed Poisson random variables. We also assume that at $t=0$ there was no particles with negative charges. Then there will be no such particles at any later time and our problem becomes identical to the problem of *mass* aggregation.

We wish to study the time evolution of the average number of particles at a site of Γ which corresponds, after taking the continuum limit, to the mass distribution of particles.

A microstate of our particle system is defined by specifying the number of particles (the occupation number) at each site of Γ. The evolution of the probability measure $\mathbf{P}$ on the space of microstates is governed by the so called Master Equation. Our further considerations are based on the following two facts about the Master Equation:

(i) The Master Equation is linear;
(ii) The Master Equation is the first order differential equation w. r. t. time.

Thus following the already standard construction of [6] one can construct a path integral representation of the solution to the Master Equation and, consequently, of any correlation function. Leaving details of the derivation to [7] we present here the final path integral expression of the average mass distribution in the continuum limit and study it.

Let Δx be the lattice spacing in the coordinate space, Δq - in the mass space. The continuum limit is taken according to the following rules:

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}(\Delta x)^d \to \lambda_0,\ D(\Delta x)^2 \to D. \tag{1}$$

Then the average density is

$$n(q,t) = \int D\phi(\mathbf{x},q,t) D\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q,t)\phi(\mathbf{x},q,t) e^{-S[\phi,\phi^*]+\int d^dx \int dq n_0(q)\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q)}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$S = \int_0^t dt \Big\{ \int d^dx dq \phi^*(\mathbf{x},q,t)\partial_t \phi(\mathbf{x},q,t) + H[\phi,\phi^*] \Big\} \tag{3}$$

is an effective action,

$$H = D\int d^dx \int dq \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q)\cdot\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x},q) - \lambda_0 \int d^dx \int\int dq dq' \\ \Big(\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q+q') - 2\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q) - \phi^*(\mathbf{x},q)\phi^*(\mathbf{x},q')\Big)\phi(\mathbf{x},q)\phi(\mathbf{x},q') \tag{4}$$

is an effective Hamiltonian; $n_0(q)$ is the initial average mass distribution,

$$n(q,t) = \frac{4n_0^3}{M^2} q e^{-\frac{2qn_0}{M}} \tag{5}$$

if $q > 0$ and 0 otherwise. Here n_0 is the total initial particle density, M- the total mass density.

The non-hermiticity of the effective Hamiltonian reflects the irreversibility of the process of aggregation. As in case of the equilibrium statistical mechanics, our problem has been mapped to an effective Euclidean field theory in $d+1$ dimensions. But, unlike the equilibrium case, the parameter t has the meaning of time, not inverse temperature.

A simple scaling analysis of (3) yields the applicability conditions of the mean field theory approximation in $d < 2$: The rescaling

$$t \to t\tau,\ \mathbf{x} \to \sqrt{Dt}\xi,\ \phi^* \to \phi^*,\ \phi \to Z\phi,\ q \to Wq \tag{6}$$

with $ZW = \frac{1}{\lambda_0 t}$, transforms the functional integral measure as follows:

$$e^{-S[\phi,\phi^*,\lambda_0,t,D]} = e^{-\frac{1}{g(t)}S[\phi,\phi^*,1,1,1]}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{\lambda_0 t}{(Dt)^{d/2}} \tag{8}$$

is the dimensionless coupling.

We see that the mean field theory (or, equivalently, the weak coupling ($g(t) << 1$) approximation) is always violated in the limit $t \to \infty$ if $d < 2$. Dimension 2 is critical in a sense that the dimensionless coupling $g\mid_{d=2}$ doesn't depend on time.

In the weak coupling limit the average mass distribution $n(q,t)$ solves the Euler-Lagrange equation describing the extrema of the effective action (3):

$$\partial_t n(q,t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{2}\int_0^q dq' n(q-q',t)n(q',t) - \lambda_0 \int_0^\infty dq' n(q,t)n(q',t), \tag{9}$$

in which we recognize the Smoluchowski equation.

Now we can explain physical meaning of the condition $g(t) << 1$ of applicability of the Smoluchowski equation. Integrating (9) w. r. t. q one finds that the *total* density $n(t) = \int dq n(q,t)$ obeys the standard rate equation of the theory of $A + A \to A$ reaction:

$$\partial_t n = -\frac{\lambda_0}{2} n^2,\ n(0) = n_0. \tag{10}$$

The asymptotic solution of this equation for $t >> n_0^{-1}\lambda_0^{-1}$ is $n(t) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_0 t}$. Note that $n(t)^{-1/d}$ is the average distance between particles which determines the correlation length and $\sqrt{Dt}$ is the typical size of spatial fluctuations of local density. Thus the condition $g(t) << 1$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{l_{corr}}{l_{diff}} << 1. \tag{11}$$

The conclusion is that if the correlation length is much smaller than the scale of fluctuations, the spatial inhomogeneity of local density is irrelevant and the mean field theory is applicable. Condition (11) should be compared to the Ginsburg-Landau criterium of applicability of mean field theory approximation to the Ising model, see [9] for a review. Note that in $d < 2$ the correlation length measured in units of a typical fluctuation size diverges as $t \to \infty$. Spatial correlations become important and mean field theory breaks down in complete analogy with the break up of mean field theory approximation of equilibrium statistical systems near the critical point.

Analyzing the applicability of the mean field theory we concentrated on parameters λ_0 and t only, keeping the rest of the parameters of the theory fixed. As a result, (11) gives only a necessary condition of the applicability of Smoluchowski equation. In particular, we will see below that the Smoluchowski theory of aggregation can produce incorrect results for very small and very large q's even if (11) is satisfied.

Smoluchowski equation (9) with the initial condition (5) is easy to solve using Fourier transform in q variable with the following result for the average mass distribution:

$$n(q,t) = \frac{2n_0^2}{MF^2(t)} \frac{sinh(\frac{2qn_0}{M}\sqrt{1-F^{-1}(t)})}{\sqrt{1-F^{-1}(t)}} e^{-\frac{2qn_0}{M}}, \tag{12}$$

where $F(t) = 1 + n_0 t\lambda_0$. Note that $n_0 \to \infty$ limit of (12) exists and corresponds to the unique self-similar solution of (9):

$$lim_{n_0\to\infty} n(q,t) = \frac{1}{M\lambda_0^2 t^2} e^{-\frac{q}{M\lambda_0 t}} \tag{13}$$

To compute the average mass distribution taking into account the fluctuation effects we must analyze the full effective field theory (3). This turns out to be a relatively simple task due to the connection between (3) and the effective field theory of $A + A \to A$ which we will now describe.

It is well known that the evolution of total density of particles in constant kernel stochastic aggregation is governed by $A + A \to A$ process. Here we establish a more general result: *the average mass distribution in constant kernel aggregation is given by the Fourier transform of the (analytical continuation of) average density of particles in $A + A \to A$ process with respect to the initial average density.*

To verify the statement we note by analogy with [10] that the last term in the effective Hamiltonian (4) is an x-integral of the complete square of the field $W = \int dq\phi^*\phi$. The appropriate term in the functional integral measure in (2) can thus be rewritten using the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation:

$$e^{-\lambda\int dxdtW^2} = \int D\xi(x,t) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int dxdt(\xi^2 \pm i\sqrt{\lambda}W\xi)}. \tag{14}$$

Substituting (14) into (2) we find that the exponent of the new functional integration measure is *linear* in ϕ^*. The quadratic part of the new effective action is non-degenerate. Thus the ϕ^*-field can be integrated out, at least perturbatively. Following the inverse of Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) procedure [11] one finds that the computation of the average mass distribution is equivalent to averaging the solution of the following stochastic integro-differential equation with respect to noise:

$$(\partial_t - D\Delta)\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q) = \frac{\lambda_0}{2}\int_0^q dq'\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q-q')\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q')$$
$$-\lambda_0\int_0^\infty dq'\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q)\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q') - 2i\sqrt{\lambda_0}\cdot\xi(\mathbf{x},t)\phi(\mathbf{x},t,q), \tag{15}$$

where the stochastic potential $\xi(\mathbf{x},t)$ is Gaussian and "white", $\langle\xi(\mathbf{x},t)\xi(\mathbf{x}',t')\rangle = \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\delta(t-t')$. Imaginary unit multiplying the noise term of (15) is due to the fact that aggregating particles are *anticorrelated*. Note also that that even though $n(q,t) = \langle\phi(q,t)\rangle$, ϕ and the local mass distribution are $dif\,ferent$ as random variables. For instance, all higher moments of ϕ-distribution are different from higher moments of n-distributon. See [10] for more details.

Rescaling (6) maps (15) to an equation with $g(t)$ entering only as a multiplier of the noise term. Thus in the weak coupling limit (15) reduces to (9), as it should.

Equation (15) simplifies upon taking a Fourier transform with respect to the mass variable q:

$$(\partial_t - D\Delta)\phi(\mathbf{x},t,k)$$
$$= 2\pi\lambda_0\phi^2(\mathbf{x},t,k) + 4\pi\lambda_0\phi(\mathbf{x},t,k) + 2i\sqrt{\lambda_0}\xi(\mathbf{x},t)\phi(\mathbf{x},t,k). \tag{16}$$

Hence the random field $\phi(\mathbf{x},t,0)$ which corresponds to the total density does indeed satisfy the closed stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) arising in the theory of $A+A \to A$ reaction, [10]. In general, the field $\phi(\mathbf{x},t,k)$ is coupled only to itself and $\phi(\mathbf{x},t,0)$. Introducing new random variables $A(\mathbf{x},t) = \phi(\mathbf{x},t,0)$ and $B(\mathbf{x},t) = \phi(\mathbf{x},t,0) - \phi(\mathbf{x},t,k)$ we find that they satisfy the following SPDE's:

$$(\partial_t - D\Delta)A(\mathbf{x},t) + 2\pi\lambda_0 A^2(\mathbf{x},t) - 2i\sqrt{\lambda_0}\xi(\mathbf{x},t)A(\mathbf{x},t) = A_0\delta(t) \tag{17}$$
$$(\partial_t - D\Delta)B(\mathbf{x},t) - 2\pi\lambda_0 B^2(\mathbf{x},t) - 2i\sqrt{\lambda_0}\xi(\mathbf{x},t)B(\mathbf{x},t) = B_0\delta(t), \tag{18}$$

where $A_0 = \frac{n_0}{2\pi}$, $B_0 = \frac{n_0}{2\pi}(1-(1+\frac{ikM}{2n_0})^{-2})$ are the initial values of random variables A and B correspondingly.

But if $q > 0$, $n(q,t) = -\int dk e^{ikq}\langle B(\mathbf{x},t)\rangle$, where B is the solution of SPDE (18) of the $A+A \to A$ theory with the initial density parametrised by k. In the universal limit $n_0 \to \infty$ the initial "density" is

$$B_0 = ikM, \tag{19}$$

thus confirming the statement about the connection between the constant kernel aggregation and the theory of $A+A \to A$ made earlier. As n_0 flows to infinity under the renormalisation group transformation [8], we can set it equal to infinity from the very beginning without affecting the answers for $t >> \frac{1}{\lambda_0 n_0}$. Hence everywhere below we assume that B_0 is given by (19).

The random field A turns out to be irrelevant for the computation of the average mass distribution. Yet it is not completely decoupled from the B-field due to the common random potentials of SPDEs (17) and (18). This remark is important for the computation of correlation functions, [7].

The Fourier transform of the average mass distribution $n(k,t)$ is most conveniently computed within the field-theoretic formalism. Thus we apply the MSR procedure to (18) to arrive at the following representation of $n(k,t)$:

$$n(k,t) = n(t) - \int DBBe^{-S[B]+\int d^dx B^* B_0}, \tag{20}$$

where $n(t)$ is the average density of particles and

$$S[B] = \int_0^t dt \int d^dx\{B^*(\partial_t - D\Delta)B + 2\pi\lambda_0 B^* B^2 + \lambda_0(B^*B)^2\} \tag{21}$$

is an effective action of the $A + A \to A$ theory, [8].

Now we shall obtain a representation of $n(k,t)$ as a perturbative series in $g(t)$, identify the terms in the series giving the leading order contributions in the large t-limit and sum these contributions exactly using the formalism of renormalization group. In our analysis we are going to use fairly standard methods of the statistical field theory, see e. g. [9], [12] for a review.

The Fourier transform of the average mass distribution can be presented graphically as a sum of all Feynmann diagrams of the theory (21) with a single outgoing line. These can be classified by a number of loops. To regularise the divergencies due to integration along loops we use the dimensional regularization, i. e. compute Feynman integrals associated with each diagram in dimension $d = 2 - \epsilon$, where ϵ is a small parameter. A simple combinatorial and dimensional analysis shows that a graph with n loops and m ingoing density lines yields a contribution to the average density of the form

$$C_{n,m}(\epsilon)\lambda^{n-1}(Dt)^{-d/2-(n-1)(d-2)/2}(\lambda t B_0)^m,$$

where $C_{n,m}(\epsilon)$ is a constant. Therefore,

$$n(k,t) = n(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda_0 t}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(t)^n f_n(\epsilon,\kappa), \tag{22}$$

where $\kappa = -i\lambda_0 B_0 \equiv \lambda_0 t M k$ is a *scaling variable*: For a fixed κ expansion (22) is indeed an expansion in powers of $g(t)$. An expansion coefficient $f_n(\epsilon,\kappa)$ is given by the sum of all Feynman diagrams containing precisely n-loops. As κ is not a small parameter of the theory, these sums have to be computed exactly. Direct evaluation of tree and 1-loop contributions gives:

$$n(\kappa,t) = n(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda_0 t}\left(\frac{i\kappa}{1+i\kappa} - \frac{2}{\pi\epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{8\pi}\right)^{d/2} g(t)\frac{\kappa^2}{(1+i\kappa)^2}\right.$$
$$\left.\times\int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1+i\kappa x)^2}x^{\epsilon/2}\left(1 + \frac{4i\kappa x}{(2+\epsilon)} - \frac{8\kappa^2 x^2}{(2+\epsilon)(4+\epsilon)}\right) + O\left(g(t)^2\right)\right) \tag{23}$$

Note that the sum of all *tree* diagrams yields the solution of the rate equation (10) with the initial condition (19). Hence the expansion (22) is the standard loop expansion around a nontrivial classical solution.

The inverse Fourier transform of (23) produces the $g(t)$-expansion of the average mass density around the solution (13) of the Smoluchowski equation. The coefficients of this expansion depend on the mass scaling variable $Q = q/(\lambda_0 t M)$.

We see that if $g(t) << 1$, there are domains in κ and Q spaces in which the mean field theory answer holds. If however $d < 2$ they shrink with time due to the the growth of the dimensionless coupling $g(t)$. Note that we do not discuss the situation in $d > 2$, where our considerations must be modified to account for the strong cut-off dependence of the answers.

The growth of $g(t)$ is in turn due to the recurrent property of random walks in $d < 2$: the probability that two particles coalesce at some late time t is strongly modified by the fact that they met many times in the past and yet didn't react, [13]. In other words, the bubble diagrams renormalising the coupling λ_0 are all relevant at large times, [8]. A simple dimensional argument shows that only the renormalisation of two particles processes is relevant at large times in $d < 2$. Thus one can extract the large time asymptotics of the

average mass distribution from (22) by rearranging the $g(t)$-expansion in such a way that it becomes an expansion w. r. t. a renormalised dimensional coupling $g_R(t)$. This is precisely the task the renormalisation group method has been designed for.

The contributions corresponding to diagrams renormalising λ_0 form a geometric sequence and thus can be summed exactly. The result is

$$\lambda_R(t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \frac{C_d}{\epsilon}\lambda_0 t (Dt)^{-d/2}}, \tag{24}$$

where C_d is a positive constant. Suppose that the average mass distribution at $t = t_0$ is known. Then we can in principle compute it for any later time t by solving the field theory (21), *but* with λ_0 and $n_0(k)$ replaced with $\lambda_R(t_0)$ and $n(k, t_0)$ correspondingly. The result for $t > t_0$ will not depend on t_0, as the evolution operators $U(t', t'')$ corresponding to (21) form a semi-group: $U(0, t_0) \cdot U(t_0, t) = U(0, t)$. With the aid of dimensional analysis condition $\partial_{t_0} n(k, t) = 0$ can be written in the form of Callan-Symancyk (renormalization group) equation:

$$\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\beta(g_R)\frac{\partial}{\partial g_R} - \frac{d}{2}k\frac{\partial}{\partial k} + \frac{d}{2}\right) n\big(k, t, g_R(t_0)\big) = 0, \ t > t_0. \tag{25}$$

Here

$$\beta(g(t)) \equiv -t\frac{\partial \lambda_R(t)}{\partial t} = C_d g(t)^2 - \epsilon g(t) \tag{26}$$

is an exact β-function of the field theory (21). The solution of Calan-Symancyk equation is

$$n(k, t) = \left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{d/2} n\left(\left(\frac{t_0}{t}\right)^{d/2} k, t_0, g_R(t, t_0)\right), \tag{27}$$

where $g(t, t_0)$ is the *running coupling constant*:

$$g_R(t, t_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{C_d}\big(1 - (1 - \frac{\epsilon}{C_d g_R(t_0)})(\frac{t_0}{t})^{\epsilon/2}\big)^{-1} & : \quad d < 2 \qquad (27.1) \\ g_R(t_0)\big(1 + \frac{g_R(t_0)}{4\pi} ln(\frac{t}{t_0})\big)^{-1} & : \quad d = 2 \qquad (27.2) \end{cases}$$

Expressions (23) and (27) can be used in the analysis of the large time asymptotics of the average mass distribution in the following way:
If $d < 2$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} g_R(t, t_0) = \frac{\epsilon}{k_q}$, which is a non-trivial fixed point of the β-function (26). Thus the large time asymptotics of the average mass distribution in $d = 1$ has according to (27) the following self-similar form:

$$n(q, t) = \frac{M}{Dt}\Phi\left(\frac{q}{M\sqrt{Dt}}\right), \tag{28}$$

where Φ is some universal scaling function. Hence our analysis reproduces the exact scaling properties of the average mass distribution in one dimension known from the exact solution, [14]. The substitution of (23) into (27) gives a representation of $n(q, t)$ in the form of ϵ-series. Unfortunately, the ϵ-expansion doesn't reveal any information about the scaling function Φ when $\epsilon = 1$ ($d = 1$).

If however $d = 2$, then $g_R(t, t_0) \sim 4\pi/ln(t/t_0) << 1$ for $t >> t_0$. Hence it is possible to evaluate the r. h. s. of (27) for large times using the weak coupling expansion (23)!

Technically, this possibility is realized as follows: We express $g(t_0)$ in the series (23) through $g_R(t_0)$ using (24) for $\epsilon > 0$ and substitute it into (27). The resulting expression is non-singular at $\epsilon = 0$, as the renormalization of the coupling constant λ_0 takes care of all perturbative divergencies of the field theory (21). Thus we can set $\epsilon = 0$ and after taking the inverse Fourier transform obtain the following answer for the average mass distribution in $d = 2$:

$$n_R = \frac{1}{M}(\frac{1}{g_R(t,t_0)Dt})^2 e^{-Q_R}(1 + \frac{g_R(t,t_0)}{8\pi}((2C-5)(2-Q_R) +$$
$$(2(1-Q_R)(ln(Q_R+C) + 2Q_R + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(Q_R-2)^2)) + O(g_R(t,t_0)^2)), \qquad (29)$$

where C is the Euler constant and $Q_R = \frac{q}{Mg_R(t,t_0)Dt}$ is the renormalized scaling variable. The cutoff time t_0 can be related to the lattice spacing: $t_0 = \Delta x^2/D$. Even though individual terms in the expansion (29) depend on t_0, their sum doesn't. A simple check shows that integrating (29) with respect to q gives the one-loop approximation of the total density obtained in [8], while the integral of $q \cdot n(q,t)$ gives the total mass density M. The expression for the average mass distribution can be simplified even further if we use a more natural scaling variable $Q = q \cdot n(t)/M$, where $n(t)$ is the exact total density. Then the term $\frac{g_R(t,t_0)}{8\pi}(2C-5)(2-Q_R)$ disappears from the r. h. s. of (29) and we are left with the non-trivial $form$ corrections to the scaling function e^{-x}.

Thus, we conclude that if $Q_R >> t_0/t$ and $Q_R << (ln(t/t_0)^{1/2}$,

$$n_R \approx \frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{ln(t/t_0)}{4\pi Dt}\right)^2 exp\left\{-\frac{qln(t/t_0)}{4\pi DtM}\right\}, \qquad (30)$$

thus establishing the result obtained numerically in [4] and conjectured on the basis of heuristic arguments in [5]. Note that (30) holds at large times irrespectively of the value of the coupling λ_0/D. But if the latter is large, the mean field theory result (23) isn't valid at any time at all.

We emphasize the non-uniformity of the corrections to the renormalized mean field answer (30) with respect to the scaling variable Q_R. This phenomenon occurs in higher dimensions as well. A computation shows that in $d < 4$, the large-Q asymptotics of the one-loop correction to the mean field result behaves like $g(t)Q^2e^{-Q}$. Thus the mean field theory can be violated even above the critical dimension if Q is large enough.

The main reason for the violation of (30) for large q's is the existence of another length scale in our problem, $l_M = (q/M)^{1/d}$ (so far our analysis of the applicability of the mean field theory used only the diffusion scale $l_D = \sqrt{Dt}$). Corrections to the mean field theory which depend on l_M are due to the fluctuations in the *flux of masses* in the mass space due to aggregation, see [7] for more details.

In conclusion I would like to stress that the applicability of the statistical field theory methods to the stochastic aggregation is not necessarily limited to the simple model at hand. In particular, it would be interesting to apply the machinery of renormalization group to the model of stochastic aggregation with a multiplicative kernel $K(q,q') = qq'$, for which the mean field theory predicts a finite time gelation transition, [15]. Another potentially interesting application is to a model of stochastic aggregation with fragmentation which admits a non-equilibrium phase transition between a trivial and a non-trivial steady states, [16]

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank John Cardy for useful discussions. The hospitality of the Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Oxford where the present

work has been completed is greatly appreciated. This research was partially supported by the grant No. 4603 from London Mathematical Society.

References

[1] M. V. von Smoluchowski, Phys. Z. **17**, p. 557 (1916).

[2] M. H. Ernst, in: *Fractals in Physics*, L. Pietronero and E. Tosatti, eds. (Amsterdam, Elseveir, 1986) p. 289.

[3] P. G. J. van Dongen, J. Statist. Phys. **54**, no. 1-2, p. 221 (1989).

[4] K. Kang and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. **A30**, no. 5, p. 2833 (1984).

[5] P. L. Krapivsky, *Diffusion limited Aggregation Processes with 3-particle Elementary Reactions*, cond-mat/9403041.

[6] M. Doi, J. Phys. **A9**, p. 1465 (1976); M. Doi, J. Phys. A **9**, p. 1479 (1976).

[7] O. Zaboronski, *The statistical field theory of stochastic aggregation*, in preparation.

[8] L. Peliti, J. Phys. **A19**, L365 (1986); B. P. Lee, J. Phys. **A27**, p. 2633 (1994). For a concise and clear review see J. Cardy, *Field theory and non-equilibrium statistical mechanics.* PostScript file of complete notes is available at *http* : *//www.thphys.physics.ox.ac.uk/users/JohnCardy/*.

[9] J. Cardy, *Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics.* Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[10] J. Cardy, *Renormalisation Group Approach to Reaction-Diffusion Problems*, in 'Proceedings of Mathematical Beauty of Physics', J.-B. Zuber, ed., Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics (World Scientific), **24**, p. 113 (1997); cond-mat/9607163.

[11] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia and H. H. Rose, Phys. Rev. **A8**, p. 423 (1973).

[12] C. Itzykson and J.-M. Drouffe, *Statistical field theory. Vol. 1. From Brownian Motion to Renormalization and Lattice Gauge Theory.* Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[13] V. Privman, C. Doering, and H. L. Frisch, Phys. Rev. **48**, p. 846 (1993).

[14] J. L. Spouge, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, p. 871 (1988).

[15] P. G. J. van Dongen and M. H.J Ernst, J. Statist. Phys. **44** , no. 5-6, p. 785 (1986).

[16] S. N. Majumdar, S. Krishnamurthy and M. Barma, J. Stat. Phys. **99**, p. 1 (2000).